## Survey Results

### Background Information:

**Year in School:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UCLA GPA:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPA Range</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below 2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 - 2.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 - 2.99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 - 3.49</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Established</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expected Grade:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What requirements does this course fulfill?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Field</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.E.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To What Extent Do You Feel That:

Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.

Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.

Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.

Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.

Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.

Overall – Your overall rating of the course.

Your View of Course Characteristics:

Subject interest before course

Subject interest after course

Mastery of course material

Difficulty (relative to other courses)

Workload/pace was

Texts, required readings

Homework assignments

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
Graded materials, examinations

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Lecture presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=3
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0
ab.=2

Class discussions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=4
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0
ab.=1
Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
Name of the instructor: D. FESSLER
Name of the course: 12F: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM

Values used in the profile line: Mean

To What Extent Do You Feel That:

**Instructor Concern** – The instructor was concerned about student learning.
- Very Low or Never: 8.60 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

**Organization** – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.
- Very Low or Never: 7.75 (n=4)
- Very High or Always: 8.00 (n=4)

**Interaction** – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.
- Very Low or Never: 8.60 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

**Communication Skills** – The instructor had good communication skills.
- Very Low or Never: 8.80 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

**Value** – You have learned something you consider valuable.
- Very Low or Never: 9.00 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

**Overall** – Your overall rating of the instructor.
- Very Low or Never: 8.80 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

**Overall** – Your overall rating of the course.
- Very Low or Never: 8.80 (n=5)
- Very High or Always: 9.00 (n=5)

Your View of Course Characteristics:

**Subject interest before course**
- Low: 2.60 (n=5)
- High: 3.00 (n=5)

**Subject interest after course**
- Low: 2.80 (n=5)
- High: 3.00 (n=5)

**Mastery of course material**
- Low: 2.33 (n=3)
- High: 2.00 (n=3)

**Difficulty (relative to other courses)**
- Low: 1.33 (n=3)
- High: 1.00 (n=3)

**Workload/pace was**
- Too Slow: 2.00 (n=3)
- Too Much: 2.00 (n=3)

**Texts, required readings (*)**
- Poor: 3.00 (n=0)
- Excellent: 3.00 (n=0)

**Homework assignments**
- Poor: 3.00 (n=1)
- Excellent: 3.00 (n=1)

**Graded materials, examinations (*)**
- Poor: 3.00 (n=0)
- Excellent: 3.00 (n=0)

**Lecture presentations**
- Poor: 3.00 (n=3)
- Excellent: 3.00 (n=3)

**Class discussions**
- Poor: 3.00 (n=4)
- Excellent: 3.00 (n=4)

(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.
Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.

- I'm really glad that I have the opportunity to be part of Fessler research team! This is my first quarter. To be honest, I feel like I haven't contributed much this quarter, but I hope I will gain the confidence or improve my critical thinking skills in my remaining time here in order to do so. I really enjoy going to the meetings, regardless of -2 hours of my meetings, because I think it's incredibly fascinating to not only hear about the experiments, but I am always very impressed by the suggestions made and my peer's contributions. So although it may look like I'm bored sometimes or tuned out, I honestly do find what we talk about interesting.

- The only thing is sometimes I lose track of what is being talked about and my mind can't grasp what is going on, but it's not like we can slow down the pace. It's just something I need to work on. Other than that, Dr. Fessler & Dr. Holbrook are amazing!

- I'm really grateful for the opportunity to participate in this lab. It's painfully apparent in my other classes that experimental design is one of my weak points. Walking through hypotheses and discussing experimental design in the meeting is really helpful in marrying theory and practice in my mind. Although I was expecting more monkeys (a la Anthro 7 with Prof. Manson), I found evolutionary psych to be interesting and admittedly more practical. This lab is a great way to close the gap that exists between studying anthropology and actually seeing and doing what an anthropologist does. Very useful when encountering the question "So....what are you gonna do with that?"

- Professor Fessler consistently facilitates discussions in which he encourages students to take an active part. He creates an environment in which students feel comfortable presenting ideas, regardless of whether they agree or disagree with the working hypothesis.

- best use of my time at UCLA