




D. FESSLER
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 14W: ANTHRO 220 SEM 1: CURR PROB-BIOL ANTH
 No. of responses = 4
 Enrollment = 5
 Response Rate = 80%

Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=8.5 md=8.5 dev.=0.58
2.3)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.4)	Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.5)	Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.6)	Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.7)	Overall – Your overall rating of the course.	Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=4 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:


3.1)	Subject interest before course	Low		High	n=4 av.=2.75 md=3 dev.=0.5
3.2)	Subject interest after course	Low		High	n=4 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.3)	Mastery of course material	Low		High	n=4 av.=2.5 md=2.5 dev.=0.58
3.4)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
3.5)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=4 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.6)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=4 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0

3.7) Homework assignments



n=2
 av.=3
 md=3
 dev.=0
 ab.=2

3.8) Graded materials, examinations




n=1
 av.=3
 md=3
 dev.=0
 ab.=3

3.9) Lecture presentations

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

3.10) Class discussions




n=4
 av.=3
 md=3
 dev.=0

Profile


Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: D. FESSLER
 Name of the course: 14W: ANTHRO 220 SEM 1: CURR PROB-BIOL ANTH
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3. Your View of Course Characteristics:



(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

Comments Report

4. Comments:

- ^{4.1)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Great course, great discussion. Very interesting material and very application to medicine and public health.
 - I thought this was a great addition to the grad program and I hope that in the future courses of this caliber will continue to be offered. The course exposed me to a number of fascinating topics, which were discussed in some depth and through a theoretical lens that was useful for my graduate studies. Dr. Fessler is a clear thinker and a good communicator, and I thoroughly enjoyed this class.
 - This course was one of the best that I have taken in my graduate career. I came into the course not having any background in biological anthropology and felt like I learned a ton. Dr. Fessler is someone who is an expert in the field and knows how to explain concepts to a non-expert. Please continue this course!