





D. FESSLER
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 19W: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM
 No. of responses = 5
 Enrollment = 8
 Response Rate = 62.5%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:



1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.		n=5 av.=8.8 md=9 dev.=0.45
2.2) Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.		n=4 av.=8.5 md=9 dev.=1 ab.=1
2.3) Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.		n=4 av.=8.5 md=9 dev.=1 ab.=1
2.4) Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.		n=5 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.89
2.5) Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.		n=5 av.=7.6 md=9 dev.=3.13
2.6) Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.		n=5 av.=7.6 md=9 dev.=3.13
2.7) Overall – Your overall rating of the course.		n=5 av.=7.6 md=9 dev.=3.13

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1) Subject interest before course		n=5 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
3.2) Subject interest after course		n=5 av.=2.6 md=3 dev.=0.89
3.3) Mastery of course material		n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=3
3.4) Difficulty (relative to other courses)		n=3 av.=1.67 md=2 dev.=0.58 ab.=2
3.5) Workload/pace was		n=3 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.58 ab.=2
3.6) Texts, required readings		n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=3

3.7)	Homework assignments	Poor		Excellent	n=1 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=4
3.8)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=1 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=4
3.9)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=2 av.=2 md=2 dev.=1.41 ab.=3
3.10)	Class discussions	Poor		Excellent	n=5 av.=2.6 md=3 dev.=0.89

4. Comments:


- 4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Great professor who explains the behind the scenes process involved in designing and running research projects, surveys and participants. He is very forgetful so don't get offended, he is not being mean. Research is conducted by following protocol and research assistant's feedback is always welcomed and encouraged. Training is done by experienced peers so it is friendly and hands-on. Overall it is great!!
 - Strengths:
 - Genuinely helpful in answering questions.
 - Caring about students' learning.
 - This research lab has taught me valuable lessons about the research world and how science gets done. It provided me hands on experience conducting research and Professor Fessler outlines the important theory behind the studies we conduct.

Profile

Subunit: ANTHRO
 Name of the instructor: D. FESSLER
 Name of the course: 19W: ANTHRO 194 SEM 1: RESEARCH GROUP SEM
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

